(4) SINGLE BENCH

CF 100L

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition (S) NO. 4017 /2006

PETITIONER : Laxmi Narayan Singh
aged about 61 years,
S/o. Late Shri R.N.Singh
Principal, Government Girls Higher Secondary School, Manendragarh,
R/o.. Ward No. 1, Manendragarh,
District Korea (C.G.)

P.R. No. 4090/06
Presented by Shri B.L. Dembra
dated 28/12/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :1. State of Chhattisgarh
Through : Secretary
Aadim Jati Tatha Anusuchit Jati Vikas Vibhag, Mantralaya D.K.S., Bhawan , Raipur (C.G.)

2. The Collector
District Korea (C.G.)

RECEIVED COPY
28/12/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

3. Commissioner
Aadim Jati Tatha Anusuchit Jati Vikas, Raipur (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION U/A 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

MISC

XI-HC-22

33

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ...W.P. 4017/सन् 2006

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

08-08-2006

Shri B.L. Dembra, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Sumesh Bajaj, Govt. Advocate for the State/ respondents, on advance copy.

Heard.

By the instant writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has challenged his transfer order dated 04.07.2006 (Annexure-P/1), passed by respondent No.1 whereby the petitioner has been transferred form Govt. Girls Higher Secondary School, Manendragarh, District: Korea to High School, Chando, Block: Kusmi, District: Surguja.

The main ground of challenge is that the petitioner is going to retire on 30th April 2007 and thus only nine months have left for his retirement. If the petitioner has been transferred at the fag end of his service career, it is difficult to settle his pension case.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in the circumstances the petitioner may be allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent No.1 and respondent No.1 be directed to decide the same to which learned counsel appearing for the State/ respondents has no objection.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner appears to be just, fair and reasonable. Therefore, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent No.1 raising all his grievances, annexing a copy of the instant writ petition within a period of ten days from today and in turn, respondent

(पीछे देखिये)

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. 4017 **/सन्** 2006

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित —2—	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

No.1 is directed to decide the same within a period of one month from the date of its receipt, considering all the grievances raised by the petitioner in an objective manner, in accordance with law. In the meantime, till the representation of the petitioner is decided, the impugned transfer order in respect of the petitioner shall remain stayed.

With the aforesaid directions, the writ petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.). P. No.3126/2006 also stands disposed of.

Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order.

Sd/-
L.C. Bhadoo
Judge

Soma

(पीछे देखिये)

11.8.06